	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/019,946	GUERITAULT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melanie Yu	1641
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Melanie Yu.	(3) Steve Catlin	SPC
(2) Long le	(4)	
Date of Interview:		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 = 2.8		
Identification of prior art discussed: Beseiner et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Discussed 10266) art rejections of Besemer et al. & Applicant's amendment		
to overcome rejection.		
Biochip is attached only on the transverse sides, and is not attached on any other faces of the biochip.		
Besomer et al. teaches attachment biochip.	on the top and s	ides of the a
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Melanie	. Yn
	Examiner's sign	nature, if required